

# Representation of Completely Positive Maps Between Partial \*-Algebras

G. O. S. Ekhaguere<sup>1,2</sup>

Received October 5, 1995

---

A characterization of the invariant completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps from an arbitrary partial \*-algebra to a semiassociative, locally convex partial \*-algebra is given. The result generalizes Stinespring's characterization of completely positive maps on  $C^*$ -algebras, as well as its recent extensions by a number of authors.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the class  $CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ , of completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps from an arbitrary partial \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to a semiassociative, locally convex partial \*-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Our main result is the characterization of the subclass  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ , consisting of the members of  $CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  that are invariant in some sense. The result generalizes the well-known Stinespring characterization (Stinespring, 1955) of completely positive maps on  $C^*$ -algebras, as well as its recent extensions by Powers (1974), Lassner and Lassner (1977), Paschke (1973), and Ekhaguere and Odiobala (1991), and is formulated in terms of locally convex partial \*-algebraic modules. These are generalizations of inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras (Paschke, 1973) and are introduced in Section 2. As the general theory of locally convex partial \*-algebraic modules is of independent interest, these modules will be exclusively studied elsewhere. The characterization of the members of  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is undertaken in Section 3. As a by-product, it is seen there that there is a profuse supply of locally convex partial \*-algebraic modules, since each member of  $CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  gives rise to such a module.

<sup>1</sup>International Centre for Theoretical Physics, P.O. Box 586, I-34100 Trieste, Italy.

<sup>2</sup>Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

In the rest of this section, we outline some of the fundamental notions employed in the sequel.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *partial \*-algebra* (Antoine *et al.*, 1990, 1991; Antoine and Inoue, 1990; Ekhaguere, 1988, 1993) with involution  $\#$  and partial multiplication  $\circ$ .

If  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , we write  $R(x)$  [resp.  $L(x)$ ] for the set of *right* [resp. *left*] *multipliers* of  $x$ . The set  $M(x) = L(x) \cap R(x)$  consists of the *universal multipliers* of  $x$ . More generally, if  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , we shall use the following notation:

$$R(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{C}} R(x) = \text{universal right multipliers of } \mathcal{C}$$

$$L(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{C}} L(x) = \text{universal left multipliers of } \mathcal{C}$$

$$M(\mathcal{C}) = L(\mathcal{C}) \cap R(\mathcal{C}) = \text{universal multipliers of } \mathcal{C}$$

$\mathcal{A}$  is called *semiassociative* iff  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $y \in R(x)$ , implies  $y \circ z \in R(x)$  and  $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$  for all  $z \in R(\mathcal{A})$ . Under these conditions, we shall often write  $x \circ (y \circ z)$  or  $(x \circ y) \circ z$  simply as  $x \circ y \circ z$ .

We remark that when  $\mathcal{A}$  is semiassociative, then both  $L(\mathcal{A})$  and  $R(\mathcal{A})$  are algebras [but, in general, not *\*-algebras*, since  $L(\mathcal{A})^\# = R(\mathcal{A})$  and  $R(\mathcal{A})^\# = L(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}^\# = \{x^\# : x \in \mathcal{C}\}$ , for  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ] and  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is a *\*-algebra*.

A member  $e$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *unit* (and  $\mathcal{A}$  is then said to be *unital*) iff  $e \in M(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $e^\# = e$ , and  $x \circ e = x = e \circ x$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . A unit of a unital partial *\*-algebra* is unique.

The *positive cone* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set  $\mathcal{A}_+$  given by

$$\mathcal{A}_+ = \{x_1^\# \circ x_1 + \dots + x_n^\# \circ x_n : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R(\mathcal{A}), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

We say that  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  is *positive* if  $x \in \mathcal{A}_+$  and write  $x \geq 0$ .

Given a Hausdorff locally convex topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , we call the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  a *locally convex partial \*-algebra* iff the following properties are satisfied:

- $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff locally convex space, where  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is the underlying linear space of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- The map  $x \mapsto x^\#$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\tau$ -continuous.
- The map  $x \mapsto z \circ x$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\tau$ -continuous for all  $z \in L(\mathcal{A})$ .
- And/or the map  $x \mapsto x \circ z$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\tau$ -continuous for all  $z \in R(\mathcal{A})$ .

## 2. PARTIAL \*-ALGEBRAIC MODULES

In this section,  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$  is a locally convex partial *\*-algebra* (with involution  $*$  and partial multiplication written as juxtaposition), whose topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$  is generated by a family  $\{|\cdot|_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of seminorms, and  $D$  is a linear space which is also a right  $R(\mathcal{B})$ -module in the sense that  $x.a + y.b \in D$ ,

whenever  $x, y \in D$  and  $a, b \in R(\mathfrak{B})$ , where the action of  $R(\mathfrak{B})$  on  $D$  is written as  $z.c$  for  $z \in D, c \in R(\mathfrak{B})$ .

*Definition 2.1.* A  $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued inner product on  $D$  is a conjugate-bilinear map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}: D \times D \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  such that:

- (i)  $\langle x, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} \in \mathfrak{B}_+, \forall x \in D$ , and  $\langle x, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = 0$  only if  $x = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = \langle y, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}^*$ ,  $\forall x, y \in D$ .
- (iii)  $\langle x, y.b \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}b, \forall x, y \in D, b \in R(\mathfrak{B})$ .

*Notation.* If  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued inner product on  $D$ , define  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}: D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\|x\|_{\alpha} = \sqrt{|\langle x, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}|_{\alpha}}, \quad x \in D, \quad \alpha \in \Delta$$

Then, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{2} (|\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}|_{\alpha} + |\langle y, x \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}|_{\alpha}) \leq \|x\|_{\alpha} \|y\|_{\alpha} \tag{*}$$

for all  $x, y \in D, \alpha \in \Delta$ . It follows that  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$  is a *seminorm* on  $D$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

We write  $\tau_{D,\mathfrak{B}}$  for the locally convex topology on  $D$  generated by the family  $\{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ .

*Remarks.* 1. If  $|\cdot|_{\alpha}$  is  $*$ -invariant, i.e., if  $|a^*|_{\alpha} = |a|_{\alpha}, \forall a \in \mathfrak{B}, \alpha \in \Delta$ , then the inequality (\*) reduces to

$$|\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}|_{\alpha} \leq \|x\|_{\alpha} \|y\|_{\alpha}, \quad \forall x, y \in D, \quad \alpha \in \Delta$$

2. In addition to properties (i)–(iii) of Definition (2.1), we make the following assumption about the action of  $R(\mathfrak{B})$  on  $D$ :

- (iv) For each  $b \in R(\mathfrak{B})$ , the map

$$l_R(b): (D, \tau_{D,\mathfrak{B}}) \rightarrow (D, \tau_{D,\mathfrak{B}})$$

given by

$$l_R(b)x = x.b, \quad x \in D$$

is *continuous*.

*Definition 2.2.* A triple  $(D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}, \tau_{D,\mathfrak{B}})$  for which (i)–(iv) of Definition 2.1 hold will be called a *locally convex*  $(\mathfrak{B}, \tau_{\mathfrak{B}})$ -*module*.

*Remarks.* 1. A locally convex  $(\mathfrak{B}, \tau_{\mathfrak{B}})$ -module is a generalization of an inner product module over a  $B^*$ -algebra (Paschke, 1973).

2. If  $D$  is already  $\tau_{D,\mathfrak{B}}$ -complete, then the triple  $(D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}, \tau_{D,\mathfrak{B}})$  will be called a *complete* locally convex  $(\mathfrak{B}, \tau_{\mathfrak{B}})$ -module; in case  $D$  is not  $\tau_{D,\mathfrak{B}}$ -

complete, one passes to a complete locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module by completion.

*Notation.* Let  $(D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \tau_{D, \mathcal{B}})$  be a locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module and  $(X, \tau_{X, \mathcal{B}})$  the  $\tau_{D, \mathcal{B}}$ -completion of  $D$ . The  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$  on  $D$  extends to a  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued inner product, denoted again by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ , on  $X$ , and the triple  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \tau_{X, \mathcal{B}})$  is a complete locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module.

We write  $L(D, X)$  for the linear space of all continuous linear maps from  $(D, \tau_{D, \mathcal{B}})$  to  $(X, \tau_{X, \mathcal{B}})$ .

*Examples.* 1. Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$  be a locally convex partial \*-algebra whose topology is generated by the family  $\{|\cdot|_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of seminorms. Take  $D \equiv R(\mathcal{B})$ ; define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} : D \times D \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by  $\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = x^*y$ ,  $x, y \in D$ , and  $\tau_{D, \mathcal{B}}$  as the locally convex topology on  $D$  generated by the family  $\{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  given by  $\|x\|_{\alpha} = (|\langle x, x \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}|_{\alpha})^{1/2}$ . Then  $(D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \tau_{D, \mathcal{B}})$  is a locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module.

2. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a pre-Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$  a locally convex partial \*-algebra, as in Example 1 above. Take  $D$  as the algebraic tensor product  $D \equiv R(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$  and define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} : D \times D \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\langle a \otimes x, b \otimes y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} a^*b, \quad a, b \in R(\mathcal{B}), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}$$

Letting  $\tau_{D, \mathcal{B}}$  be the locally convex topology on  $D$  whose family of seminorms  $\{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is given by

$$\|a \otimes x\|_{\alpha} = \sqrt{|\langle a \otimes x, a \otimes x \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}|_{\alpha}}$$

Then the triple  $(D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \tau_{D, \mathcal{B}})$  is a locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module.

*Definition 2.3.* Let  $(D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \tau_{D, \mathcal{B}})$  be a locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module and  $(X, \tau_{X, \mathcal{B}})$  the  $\tau_{D, \mathcal{B}}$ -completion of  $D$ . A map  $T \in L(D, X)$  will be called a *module map* iff

$$T(x.b) = (Tx).b, \quad \forall x \in D, \quad b \in R(\mathcal{B})$$

*Notation.* 1. We write  $L_{\text{mod}}(D, X)$  for the set of all  $T \in L(D, X)$  to which correspond some  $T^*$ , with domain in  $X$  containing  $D$  and forming a right  $R(\mathcal{B})$ -module, such that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle x, T^*y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \forall x, y \in D$$

The map  $T^*$  will be called an *adjoint* of  $T$ . It is clear that an adjoint of a map in  $L(D, X)$  is unique, as  $D$  is dense in  $X$ . Hence,  $L_{\text{mod}}(D, X)$  is a \*-invariant linear space which is, in general, not an algebra.

2. The linear space  $L_{\text{mod}}(D, X)$  may, however, be given the structure of a *partial \*-algebra* by specifying a partial multiplication  $\circ$  and an involution  $^+$  on it as follows. For  $T \in L_{\text{mod}}(D, X)$ , define  $T^+$  by

$$T^+ \equiv T^*|_D$$

Then with  $\Gamma \subseteq L_{\text{mod}}(D, X)$  given by

$$\Gamma = \{(T_1, T_2) \in (L_{\text{mod}}(D, X))^2: \\ T_2 D \subseteq \text{domain of } T_1^{+*} \text{ and } T_1^+ D \subseteq \text{domain of } T_2^*\}$$

we define  $T_1 \circ T_2$  by

$$T_1 \circ T_2 \equiv T_1^{+*} T_2$$

whenever  $(T_1, T_2) \in \Gamma$ . We work with the partial \*-algebra  $(L_{\text{mod}}(D, X), +, \circ)$  in the sequel and often denote it simply by  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D, X)$ .

*Proposition 2.4.* Every member of  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D, X)$  is a module map.

*Definition 2.5.* A module \*-representation of a partial \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (with involution # and partial multiplication  $\cdot$ ) is a map  $\pi$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into some  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D, X)$  such that the following hold on  $D$ :

- (i)  $\pi(\lambda_1 a + \lambda_2 b) = \lambda_1 \pi(a) + \lambda_2 \pi(b), \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (ii)  $\pi(a^\#) = \pi(a)^+, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (iii) If  $A \in L(b)$ , then  $\pi(a) \in L(\pi(b))$  and

$$\pi(a \cdot b) = \pi(a) \circ \pi(b)$$

*Remark.* Since  $\pi(a)$  is in  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D, X)$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows from Proposition 2.4 that  $\pi(a)(x \cdot b) = (\pi(a)x) \cdot b$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in R(\mathcal{B})$ .

### 3. COMPLETE POSITIVITY

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a partial \*-algebra,  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$  a *semiassociative* locally convex partial \*-algebra (with involution \* and partial multiplication written as juxtaposition), whose topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$  is generated by a family  $\{|\cdot|_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of seminorms, and  $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  the set of all maps  $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  with the properties

- (i)  $\varphi(x, \lambda_1 y + \lambda_2 z) = \lambda_1 \varphi(x, y) + \lambda_2 \varphi(x, z)$
- (ii)  $\varphi(x, y)^* = \varphi(y, x)$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . The members of  $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  are therefore *conjugate-bilinear* maps.

*Notation.* In the sequel, the map  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle: R(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is defined by

$$\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle = a^* b, \quad a \in R(\mathcal{B}), \quad b \in \mathcal{B}$$

*Remark.* Let  $n$  be a positive integer. A member of  $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is called  $n$ -positive iff

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle\langle b_j, \varphi(a_j, a_k)b_k \rangle\rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_j^* \varphi(a_j, a_k)b_k \geq 0$$

$$\forall a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}, \quad b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in R(\mathcal{B})$$

The *completely positive* maps from  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  form a subset of  $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  and are defined as follows.

*Definition 3.1.*  $\varphi \in \text{Bil}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is called *completely positive* if  $\varphi$  is  $n$ -positive for each  $n$ .

*Notation.* Denote the set of all completely positive members of  $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  by  $CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .

*Remark.* 1. Every  $\varphi \in CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is automatically positive in the sense that  $\varphi(x, x) \geq 0 \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

2. If  $(D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \tau_{D, \mathcal{B}})$  is a locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module,  $(X, \tau_{X, \mathcal{B}})$  the completion of  $(D, \tau_{D, \mathcal{B}})$ ,  $\pi$  a module  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $L^+_{\text{mod}}(D, X)$ , and  $x_0$  some fixed member of  $D$ , then the map  $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$\varphi(a, b) = \langle \pi(a)x_0, \pi(b)x_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A} \tag{*}$$

is *completely positive*. It is not known, without any restriction on  $\mathcal{A}$ , if every  $\varphi \in CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is always of the form  $(*)$ . We shall characterize a subset of  $CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  whose members have representations of the form  $(*)$ .

3. Form the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . This is a right  $R(\mathcal{B})$ -module if we define the module action by

$$(a \otimes b).c = a \otimes (bc)$$

$\forall a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B},$  and  $c \in R(\mathcal{B})$ .

*Proposition 3.2.* To each  $\varphi \in CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  there corresponds a locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . Define

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}}: (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \times (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

by

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j, \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \otimes \beta_k \right\rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \langle\langle b_j, \varphi(a_j, \alpha_k)\beta_k \rangle\rangle$$

for  $a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued inner product on  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $N_{\varphi} = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}:$

$\langle x, x \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}} = 0$ ). One checks that  $N_\varphi$  is a right  $R(\mathfrak{B})$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ . Denote  $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \setminus N_\varphi$  by  $X_\varphi^0$  and let  $\lambda_\varphi(x)$  be the coset of  $X_\varphi^0$  containing  $x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$ . A  $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued inner product, denoted again by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}}$ , is induced in a natural way by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}}$  on  $X_\varphi$ . This is a locally convex space whose topology  $\tau_{X_\varphi^0, \mathfrak{B}}$  is generated by the family  $\{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \varphi}: \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of seminorms defined by

$$\|x\|_{\alpha, \varphi} = \sqrt{|\langle x, x \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}}|_\alpha}, \quad x \in X_\varphi^0$$

One checks that  $\|x\|_{\alpha, \varphi}^2 = |b^* \langle x, x \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}} b|_\alpha$  for  $x \in X_\varphi^0$  and  $b \in R(\mathfrak{B})$ . So, as  $(\mathfrak{B}, \tau_\mathfrak{B})$  is a locally convex partial \*-algebra, it follows that the right module action of  $R(\mathfrak{B})$  on  $X_\varphi^0$  is continuous. Hence, the triple  $(X_\varphi^0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}}, \tau_{X_\varphi^0, \mathfrak{B}})$  is a locally convex  $(\mathfrak{B}, \tau_\mathfrak{B})$ -module. This concludes the proof. ■

*Remark.* We write  $(X_\varphi, \tau_{X_\varphi, \mathfrak{B}})$  for the  $\tau_{X_\varphi^0, \mathfrak{B}}$ -completion of  $X_\varphi^0$

*Definition 3.3.* A member  $\varphi$  of  $CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  will be called *invariant* if the following three properties hold:

- (i) The linear span of  $\lambda_\varphi(R(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathfrak{B})$  is dense in  $X_\varphi$
- (ii)  $\varphi(a \circ b, c) = \varphi(b, a^\# \circ c), \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, b, c \in R(\mathcal{A})$ .
- (iii)  $\varphi(a^\# \circ b, c \circ d) = \varphi(b, (a \circ c) \circ d) \forall b, d \in R(\mathcal{A})$  and  $a, c \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $a \in L(c)$ .

*Notation.* Write  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  for the set of all *invariant* members of  $CP(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ .

*Remark 3.4.* 1. The following fact will be employed later.

Let  $\varphi \in ICP(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  and  $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j$  be a member of  $N_\varphi$  with  $a_j \in R(\mathcal{A})$  and  $b_j \in \mathfrak{B}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then, for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}, \xi_a = \sum_{j=1}^n a \circ a_j \otimes b_j$  also lies in  $N_\varphi$ .

This is seen as follows. Let  $c_k \in R(\mathcal{A}), d_k \in \mathfrak{B}, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $\eta = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \otimes d_k$ . Then, a simple calculation shows that

$$\langle \lambda_\varphi(\eta), \lambda_\varphi(\xi_a) \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}} = \langle \lambda_\varphi(\eta a^\#), \lambda_\varphi(\xi) \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}} = 0$$

and as the linear span of  $\lambda_\varphi(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$  is dense in  $X_\varphi$ , it follows that  $\lambda_\varphi(\xi_a) = 0$ , implying  $\xi_a \in N_\varphi$ .

2. Our main result is the following.

*Theorem 3.5.* Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \#, \circ)$  be a unital partial \*-algebra with unit  $e_\mathcal{A}$ ;  $(\mathfrak{B}, \tau_\mathfrak{B})$  a unital semiassociative, locally convex partial \*-algebra with unit  $e_\mathfrak{B}$ , involution  $*$ , and partial multiplication written as juxtaposition; and  $\varphi$  a member of  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ . Then, there are a locally convex  $(\mathfrak{B}, \tau_\mathfrak{B})$ -module  $(D_\varphi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}, \tau_{D_\varphi, \mathfrak{B}})$ , a module \*-representation  $\pi_\varphi$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \#, \circ)$  in  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D_\varphi, X_\varphi)$ , where  $X_\varphi$  is the  $\tau_{D_\varphi, \mathfrak{B}}$ -completion of  $D_\varphi$ , and a linear map  $V_\varphi$  from  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $D_\varphi$  such that

$$\langle \langle b_1, \varphi(x, y) b_2 \rangle \rangle = \langle \pi_\varphi(x) V_\varphi b_1, \pi_\varphi(y) V_\varphi b_2 \rangle_{\varphi, \mathfrak{B}} \quad (**)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in R(\mathcal{B})$ , and the linear span of  $\pi_\varphi(R(\mathcal{A}))V_\varphi\mathcal{B}$  is dense in  $X_\varphi$ .

*Remark.* Denote  $V_\varphi e_{\mathcal{B}}$  by  $\zeta_\varphi$ . Observe that if  $b_1 = e_{\mathcal{B}} = b_2$ , then (\*\*)  
reduces to the following:

$$\varphi(x, y) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\zeta_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(y)\zeta_\varphi \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in ICP(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . Take  $\lambda_\varphi, N_\varphi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}}$ , and  $X_\varphi$  as in Proposition 3.2. Define  $D_\varphi$  as the linear span of  $\lambda_\varphi(R(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B})$  and  $\tau_{D_\varphi, \mathcal{B}}$  as the locally convex topology on  $D_\varphi$  generated by the family  $\{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, D_\varphi}; \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of seminorms given by

$$\|x\|_{\alpha, D_\varphi} = \sqrt{|\langle x, x \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}}|_\alpha}, \quad x \in D_\varphi$$

Then the triple  $(D_\varphi, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \tau_{D_\varphi, \mathcal{B}})$  is a locally convex  $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ -module. By Definition 3.3, Property (i),  $D_\varphi$  is dense in  $X_\varphi$ .

For each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , define  $\pi_\varphi(a)$  on  $D_\varphi$  by

$$\pi_\varphi(a)\lambda_\varphi(\xi) = \lambda_\varphi(\xi_a)$$

with  $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j$ ,  $\xi_a = \sum_{j=1}^n a \circ a_j \otimes b_j$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in R(\mathcal{B})$ . As  $\xi_a \in N_\varphi$  whenever  $\xi \in N_\varphi$  (by Remark 3.4), it follows that  $\pi_\varphi(a)$  is well defined for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . On  $D_\varphi$ , one checks that:

- $\pi_\varphi(\lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2) = \lambda_1 \pi_\varphi(a_1) + \lambda_2 \pi_\varphi(a_2)$  for all  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- $\pi_\varphi(a)^\dagger = \pi_\varphi(a^\#)$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- $\pi_\varphi(a)$  acts linearly for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

It follows that  $\pi_\varphi(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , extends to a linear map, denoted again by  $\pi_\varphi(a)$ , lying in  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D_\varphi, X_\varphi)$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.4,  $\pi_\varphi(a)$  is a module map for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Next, suppose  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $a \in L(b)$  and  $\xi, \eta \in R(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Then, using the invariance of  $\varphi$ , one finds

$$\langle \pi_\varphi(a^\#)\lambda_\varphi(\xi), \pi_\varphi(b)\lambda_\varphi(\eta) \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}} = \langle \lambda_\varphi(\xi), \pi_\varphi(a \circ b)\lambda_\varphi(\eta) \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}}$$

It follows that  $\pi_\varphi(a) \in L(\pi_\varphi(b))$  whenever  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $a \in L(\mathcal{A})$ , showing that  $\pi_\varphi$  is a representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D_\varphi, X_\varphi)$ . As it has been seen above that  $\pi_\varphi$  is a \*-map, this shows that  $\pi_\varphi$  is a module \*-representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $L_{\text{mod}}^+(D_\varphi, X_\varphi)$ .

Define  $V_\varphi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow D_\varphi$  by

$$V_\varphi b = \lambda_\varphi(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes b)$$

for  $b \in R(\mathcal{B})$ . Then,  $V_\varphi$  is linear on  $\mathcal{B}$ . The linear span of  $\pi_\varphi(R(\mathcal{A}))V_\varphi\mathcal{B}$  is



precisely the linear span of  $\lambda_\varphi(R(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B})$ , which is  $D_\varphi$ , and is therefore dense in  $X_\varphi$ . Furthermore, for  $b_1, b_2 \in R(\mathcal{B})$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_\varphi(x)V_\varphi b_1, \pi_\varphi(y)V_\varphi b_2 \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}} &= \langle \lambda_\varphi(x \otimes b_1), \lambda_\varphi(y \otimes b_2) \rangle_{\varphi, \mathcal{B}} \\ &= b_1^* \varphi(x, y) b_2 \\ &= \langle \langle b_1, \varphi(x, y) b_2 \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. This concludes the proof. ■

*Remark.* Theorem 3.5 generalizes the well-known Stinespring characterization (Stinespring, 1955) of completely positive maps on  $C^*$ -algebras, as well as its recent extensions by Powers (1974), Lassner and Lassner (1977), Paschke (1973), and Ekhaguere and Odiobala (1991).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Prof. Miguel Angel Virasoro, the International Atomic Energy Agency, and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Trieste, Italy, as well as to the Swedish Agency for Research Cooperation with Developing Countries (SAREC) for financial support during my visit at the ICTP under the Associateship Scheme. Finally, I thank the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung, Germany, for a research fellowship through which part of this work was done.

## REFERENCES

- Antoine, J.-P., and Inoue, A. (1990). Representability of invariant positive sesquilinear forms on partial  $*$ -algebras, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **108**, 337–353.
- Antoine, J.-P., Inoue, A., and Trapani, C. (1990). Partial  $*$ -algebras of closable operators I. Basic theory and the abelian case, *Publications RIMS, Kyoto University*, **26**, 359–395.
- Antoine, J.-P., Inoue, A., and Trapani, C. (1991). Partial  $*$ -algebras of closable operators II. States and representations of partial  $*$ -algebras, *Publications RIMS, Kyoto University*, **27**, 399–430.
- Ekhaguere, G. O. S. (1988). Dirichlet forms on partial  $*$ -algebras, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **104**, 129–140.
- Ekhaguere, G. O. S. (1993). Noncommutative mean ergodic theorem on partial  $*$ -algebras, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **32**, 1187–1196.
- Ekhaguere, G. O. S., and Odiobala, P. O. (1991). Completely positive conjugate-bilinear maps on partial  $*$ -algebras, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **32**, 2951–2958.
- Lassner, G., and Lassner, G. A. (1977). Completely positive mappings and unbounded observables, *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, **11**, 133–140.

- Paschke, W. L. (1973). Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **182**, 443–468.
- Powers, R. T. (1974). Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators II, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **187**, 261–293.
- Stinespring, W. T. (1955). Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **6**, 211–216.